

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/726,924	12/03/2003	Kazuhiro Itoh	5267-74	4695		
27799 7	7590 01/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
•	NTANI, LIEBERMAI	HAM, SEU	HAM, SEUNGSOOK			
551 FIFTH AV SUITE 1210	/ENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK,	NY 10176		2817			
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2009	DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			plication No.		Applicant(s)			
			/726,924		ITOH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Ex	aminer		Art Unit			
			ungsook Ham		2817			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover she	et with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum st pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. lo) days, a reply within atutory period will app will, by statute, caus	In no event, however, renthe statutory minimum by and will expire SIX (6 at the application to become the supplication to be supplication to be supplication to be supplied to the supplication to be supplied to the supplication to be supplied to the su	of thirty (30) days MONTHS from	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ely. communication.		
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 02 April 2	004.					
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
		Cilon and/or ele	ction requiremen					
	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	<u>r 2003</u> is/are: a ction to the draw the correction is	ing(s) be held in al	peyance. See wing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents had documents had of the priority d anal Bureau (PC	ve been received ve been received ocuments have I CT Rule 17.2(a)).	l. I in Application	on No d in this National	l Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)	-						
2) Notice (3) Information	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/2/04</u> .		Pape			O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/726,924

Art Unit: 2817

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kashima et al. (US '611) in view of Igarashi (US '798).

Kashima et al. (figs. 1(a), 1(b)) discloses a coupling structure for a waveguide to a microstrip line comprising: a mode conversion region defined in a printed circuit board 8, the region being surrounded by a first conductive film 11 formed on a front surface of the board, a second conductive film 38 formed on a back surface of the board, and a conductive wall 12 connecting the respective peripheries of the first and second conductive films and formed of a plurality of through-holes filled with conductive material; the first conductive film being connected to a microstrip line 9 on the board; a first slot (i.e., the slot in the middle of the copper foil 11) formed in the front surface of the region; a second slot 7 formed in a surface of the waveguide; and the first and second slots are adapted to be disposed in opposed relation to one another.

Kashima et al. does not show the waveguide being a dielectric waveguide resonator. It should be noted that the waveguide of Kashima et al. resonates at a certain frequency dependent on the length of the waveguide.

Art Unit: 2817

Igarashi (fig. 3) discloses a dielectric waveguide resonator coupled to a microstrip line through a slot. Igarashi also discloses a conventional a hollow waveguide resonator (fig. 7) and teaches using a dielectric waveguide resonator instead of using a hollow waveguide resonator.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a dielectric waveguide resonator instead of the hollow waveguide in the device of Kashima et al. since hollow waveguide and dielectric waveguide are functionally equivalent as shown by Igarashi (see figs. 3 and 7).

Regarding claim 3, it is inherent from the device of Kashima et al. that the first and second slots achieve TE mode coupling since the shape of slots are identical to the applicant's claimed invention as well as both disclose a waveguide to microstrip line coupling.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wu et al. (US Pat. App. Publ. '426) discloses a waveguide to laminated waveguide transition through a slot.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seungsook Ham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2405. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00AM-5:00PM.

Art Unit: 2817

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571)-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seungsook Ham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2817

sh